

Mastering BOD Analyses: Techniques, Tips, and Insights for Accurate Water Quality Assessment

Craig Huff
Senior Technical Manager

Webinar survey – your feedback is appreciated!

A screenshot of a webinar interface with several windows. The 'Slides' window is the largest and shows a presentation slide titled 'Mastering BOD Analyses: Techniques, Tips, and Insights for Accurate Water Quality Assessment' by Craig Huff, Senior Technical Manager at Waters ERA. The 'Q&A' window on the left has a text input field and a 'Submit' button. The 'Resource List' window below it shows links to DMR-QA Products, DMR-QA Support, DMR-QA FAQs, and an eDATA How-to Video. The 'Speaker Bio' window on the right shows a profile for Craig Huff. The 'Survey' window at the bottom right contains a survey message. Blue callout boxes highlight the Q&A window, the Resource List, and the Survey window.

Q&A (250 characters max)

You can type your questions here anytime during the presentation.

Enter your question

Resource List

- DMR-QA Products
- DMR-QA Support
- DMR-QA FAQs
- eDATA How-to Video: Change your Permittee Contact

Slides

Waters™ | ERA

Mastering BOD Analyses: Techniques, Tips, and Insights for Accurate Water Quality Assessment

Craig Huff
Senior Technical Manager

Speaker Bio

[See Craig Huff Bio](#)

Craig Huff
Senior Technical Manager
Waters ERA

Survey

Webinar Survey - Thank you for attending!

We value your feedback! Please take a few seconds to tell us how you feel about this webinar.

* 1. Would you recommend Waters/ERA to your colleagues?

Please take a few seconds to fill out this survey, which will help us better understand your needs and improve our future webinars.

Media Player

0:00

Mastering BOD Analyses

- Key Learning Topics
 - A general method overview
 - Helpful hints and best practices
 - CBOD vs BOD
 - Correlation between CBOD, BOD, COD & TOC
 - Historical Demand PT performance statistics
- Speaker – Craig Huff
 - Senior Technical Manager

Outline

- Method overview
- Helpful hints
- QC checks
- Correlation of BOD results
- PT data summary



Method Overview

- Standard Methods 5210 B (24th Edition)
- Indirect measure of biodegradable organic compounds (carbon & nitrogen)
- Photo-sensitive test (incubate in dark and reduce exposure to light)
- BOD-5 test also measures nitrogenous demand
 - 5 Day incubation period
 - $20 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$
- CBOD test measures carbonaceous BOD
 - Think of it as BOD-5 but with nitrification inhibitor added
- Both analytes can be correlated to COD & TOC (particularly in CRMs and PT samples)

Helpful Hints

- Dilution water -Preparation and care is critical (distilled, deionized*, or clean tap water)
 - Must be clean, i.e., no toxic metals, chlorine or other contaminants that can kill or inhibit seed microorganism activity.
 - Store in clean glass container
 - DO concentration at least 7.5 mg/L– follow method for proper DO adjustment techniques to attain adequate saturation
 - Prepare ASAP before use
 - DO depletion should not be >0.2 mg/L
- Perform multiple dilutions of samples- at least 3 dilutions recommended
 - Residual DO of at least 1 mg/L and a DO uptake of at least 2.0 mg/L post-incubation
 - pH is critical (6.5 - 7.5 ideal). Be careful when adjusting pH (weak solutions of H₂SO₄ or NaOH)

Helpful Hints Continued

- Seeding--Use of undisinfected effluent is most common. “Poly seed” can also be used
 - Add seed to samples before final dilution/final volume (use same amt of seed for each sample dilution)
 - DO uptake attributed to seed should be 0.6-1.0 mg/L
 - Good practice is to use seed amounts that produce results of 198 ± 30.5 mg/L in the GGA sample
 - ERA PT samples and CRM formulations include GGA and require seeding
- Completely fill BOD bottles (no headspace)
 - Ensure BOD bottles are sealed to prevent introduction of O₂ from atmosphere (water seal with cup or foil cover to prevent evaporation of water seal during incubation)
 - After dilution preparations are complete, measure initial DO within 30 min.

Helpful Hints Continued

- DO measurements (initial & final)
 - Ensure DO probe is calibrated and properly maintained
 - Check accuracy with DO CRM/RM
 - Monitor drift (using DO CRM or extra GGA sample/BOD CRMs during course of analyses)
- Ensure proper temperature is attained and consistent during incubation ($20 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$)
- Incubate for 5 days \pm 6 hrs (no less, no more)

QC Checks

- For all samples (including seed controls)- Ensure DO depletion is at least 2.0 mg/L and with at least 1 mg/L residual DO (post incubation)
 - Ensure GGA and any supplemental QC checks (BOD CRMs) are within acceptance criteria
 - Reference Section 8. of SM 5210 B for additional information
 - Average dilution results for sample (results that meet criteria)
 - Ensure dilution water quality checks are satisfactory with each analytical batch (avg of 2 or more bottles... uptake must be <0.2 mg/L...preferably <0.1 mg/L)
- Calculate results per section 7. of SM 5210 B
- When performing tests on PT samples, give yourself plenty of time to complete testing, noting that additional testing/re-testing may be required before study close dates.

Correlation of BOD Results to Other Tests on PT Samples

- If you performed other tests (such as CBOD, COD or TOC) on your PT sample, you can do a quick “sanity” check of your BOD results: Use for guidance only
- GGA-based formulations can yield the following correlations between results:
 - $\text{CBOD} = \text{BOD} * \sim 0.9$
 - $\text{CBOD} = \text{COD} * \sim 0.5\text{-}0.6$
 - $\text{CBOD} = \text{TOC} * \sim 1.4$
 - $\text{BOD} = \text{TOC} * \sim 1.6$
 - $\text{BOD} = \text{COD} * \sim 0.6$
- The above correlation factors can change slightly with concentration variations
- For WP and DMR-QA PT studies, your BOD results should fall within the following NELAC concentration range: 18 – 230 mg/L. Again, you can use this as a check on your results as well.

Historical PT Data Summary for ERA Demand Samples

- This data summary represents hundreds of WP studies and >10,000 data points for each analyte reported in ERA WP and DMR-QA PT studies

Analyte	% Recovery	Standard Deviation (%)	Acceptance Limits (%)	Failure Rate (%)
	<u>Hist</u>	<u>Hist</u>	<u>Hist</u>	<u>Hist</u>
BOD	116	16.6	57.9 - 173	4.7
CBOD	105	18.9	45.8 - 165	5.5
COD	98.6	8.30	74.1 - 123	6.3
TOC	100	6.00	82.0 - 118	5.0

Note the precision data for the more “direct measurement” analytes
TOC/COD vs BOD/CBOD.

BOD PT Acceptance range = $\pm \sim 50\%$ (slightly wider on lower end of range, slightly tighter on upper end of range) because this is a regression eqtn-based calculation

Thank you!

craig_huff@waters.com

Waters™ |  ERA



Live Q&A Session: Mastering BOD Analyses: Techniques, Tips, and Insights for Accurate Water Quality Assessment

**Please submit your questions
into the Q&A Chatbox**

<https://www.eraqc.com>